

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
9

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 JERALD HOWARD BURGESS,

14 Defendant.  
15

Case No. CR-S-2:00-CR-00379-KJD-LRL

**ORDER**

16 Pending before the Court are Defendant's Motion to Vacate Judgment (#175), Motion for  
17 Summary Judgment/Injunctive Relief (#176), Motion to Vacate Judgment (#183), Motion to Comply  
18 (#185), Motion to Show Cause (#187), Motion for Default Due to Lack of Jurisdiction (#188) and  
19 Motion to Comply and Execute Release (#191). The Government has opposed these Motions  
20 (## 182, 184, 186, 190 and 192). The Motions and oppositions are considered together herein.

21 The docket indicates that Defendant is represented by Glynn Cartledge. Defendant's pending  
22 motions were all filed *pro se*. Local Rule IA 10-6(a) provides that "[a] party who has appeared by  
23 attorney cannot while so represented appear or act in the case." Ms. Cartledge's representation has  
24 not been terminated. Accordingly, each of Defendant's motions is improper.

25 Further, upon review of the Defendant's motions, the Court finds them entirely lacking in  
26 merit. Each of Defendant's motion centers around the unintelligible argument that this Court lacks

1 jurisdiction over him because he is a citizen of the Republic of Nevada. Defendant's crimes were  
2 committed in the District of Nevada. See United States v. Lorenzo, 995 F.2d 1448, 1456 (9th Cir.)  
3 (district court has criminal jurisdiction over Hawaiian residents who claim that they are citizens of  
4 the Sovereign Kingdom of Hawaii and not of the United States); See also United States v. Tuuri, 26  
5 F.3d 135 (9th Cir. 1994) (rejecting argument that district court lacked jurisdiction over defendant  
6 because he was "citizen of the Republic of California").

7 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion to Vacate Judgment  
8 (#175) is **DENIED**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion for Summary  
10 Judgment/Injunctive Relief (#176) is **DENIED**.

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion to Vacate Judgment (#183) is  
12 **DENIED**.

13 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion to Comply (#185) is **DENIED**.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion to Show Cause (#187) is  
15 **DENIED**.

16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion for Default Due to Lack of  
17 Jurisdiction (#188) is **DENIED**.

18 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant's Motion to Comply and Execute Release  
19 (#191) is **DENIED**.

20 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** any further action in this case shall be taken by  
21 Defendant's attorney of record in compliance with Local Rule IA 10-6(a).

22 DATED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 2011.

23  
24 

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 Kent J. Dawson  
United States District Judge